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We report an atomic layer epitaxial growth of Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) thin films of SrO(SrTiO₃)ₙ (n = ∞, 2, 3, 4) by means of metalorganic aerosol deposition (MAD). The films are grown on SrTiO₃(001) substrates by means of a sequential deposition of Sr-O/Ti-O₂ atomic monolayers, monitored in-situ by optical ellipsometry. X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) reveal the RP structure with n = 2–4 in accordance with the growth recipe. RP defects, observed by TEM in a good correlation with the in-situ ellipsometry, mainly result from the excess of SrO. Being maximal at the film/substrate interface, the SrO excess rapidly decreases and saturates after 5–6 repetitions of the SrO(SrTiO₃)ₙ block at the level of 2.4%. This identifies the SrTiO₃ substrate surface as a source of RP defects under oxidizing conditions within MAD. Advantages and limitations of MAD as a solution-based and vacuum-free chemical deposition route were discussed in comparison with molecular beam epitaxy.

The importance of functional oxides with layered structure is evidenced by prominent examples of High-Tc cuprate superconductors, fatigue-free SrBi₂Ta₂O₉ ferroelectrics, Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) layered crystal heterostructures with general formula SrO(SrTiO₃)ₙ that represent an example of an intrinsic atomic layer control occurring by means of the insertion of an additional rock-salt (Sr-O) layer in between a specific number of perovskite SrTiO₃ (STO) unit cells. Conventional solid-state reaction techniques fail to grow such single phase RP samples for intermediate n-values, 3 < n < ∞; these samples typically consist of a mixture of RP structures with different “n.” RP films with n = 1–6 can be prepared by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). Here, we report on the preparation of RP-STO films with n = 2–4, using atomic layer epitaxy (ALE) of Sr-O/Ti-O₂ layers realized within a metalorganic aerosol deposition (MAD) technique, equipped with optical ellipsometry for the in-situ monitoring of the ALE growth.

In Fig. 1, we present a functional scheme of our MAD installation, used to grow the RP-STO films. Being in line with the basic principle, i.e., deposition of an oxide film as a result of a heterogeneous pyrolysis reaction at the surface of a heated substrate, the apparatus contains the following important features: (a) the elaborated pneumatic nozzle with up to 6 liquid channels allows one to feed the nozzle with different precursor solutions; (b) by utilizing special liquid pumps, the deposition accuracy up to ~10⁻⁴ u.c. of the grown layer and a wide range of deposition rates, e.g., from 0.06 up to 9.5 ML/s, were achieved; (c) the film growth was monitored by optical ellipsometry, a practical alternative to RHEED, considering the vacuum-free character of MAD. The use of optical ellipsometry to control the layer thickness by monolayer oscillations is well documented for metalorganic vapor phase epitaxy of semiconductor AₓIIIₓVᵧ films. However, an in-situ optical control at the sub-monolayer level, i.e., A-O/B-O₂ atomic layering, of the perovskite structure, was not reported up to now.

The precursors Sr(acetylacetonate)₂ (PSr) and Ti(isoprop)₂ (tetramethylheptanedionate)₂ (PTi) were dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMFA) to a concentration of 0.02 mol/l. To prepare an STO film by conventional MAD, a mixed solution of Sr- and Ti-precursors, taken with a molar ratio P₅Sr/P₅Ti = 0.75–0.83, was sprayed using compressed air onto a TiO₂-terminated STO(001) substrate, heated to Tsub = 930 °C. To grow the RP STO films, the pulses with the duration, τ = 1–2 s, of well-defined amounts of Sr- and Ti-precursor solutions were sequentially injected into the MAD chamber according to the RP design recipe. In between the pulses a delay time, δt = 2–7 s, was applied in order to pump out the remaining aerosol. To grow Srn₊₁TinO₃n₊₁ films, the following sequence of precursor pulses was applied: (P₅Sr/P₅Ti)N. This sequence was repeated several times, N = 14 (n = 4), 15 (n = 3), and 19 (n = 2), to obtain RP films with a total thickness, d = 20–25 nm.

FIG. 1. Functional scheme of a MAD technique with in-situ growth control using optical ellipsometry.
We used an optical ellipsometry setup (see Fig. 1) of the polarizer-modulator-sample-analyzer (PMSA) type\textsuperscript{15,16} with an incident He-Ne laser beam aligned at the Brewster’s angle, \( \alpha \sim 65^\circ \), for STO. The angle of polarizer and analyzer was set to 45° and the light polarization was modulated at \( \omega = 50 \text{ kHz} \) by using a photoelastic modulator (PEM). The light intensities at the fundamental, \( I_x \), and second harmonic, \( I_{2x} \), frequency were measured by two lock-in amplifiers:\textsuperscript{15} \[ I_0 = J_1(\delta)\sin(2\Psi)\sin\Delta \quad \text{and} \quad I_{2x} = J_2(\delta)\sin(2\Psi)\cos\Delta. \] Here, \( J_1(\delta) \) and \( J_2(\delta) \) are the first and second order Bessel-function at \( \delta = 139^\circ \), the phase shift between \( p \)- and \( s \)-component induced by PEM. \( \Psi \) and \( \Delta \) are the polarization rotation angle and phase shift, respectively, between the incident and reflected beams, related to the complex reflection coefficients, \( r_{ps} \), via \( \frac{\rho}{\Delta} = \tan\psi e^{i\Delta} \).

To determine the volumes of Sr- and Ti-precursors for the growth of one monolayer of Sr-O and Ti-O\(_2\), respectively, calibration experiments were carried out with volume ratios of solutions, \( \text{PSr}/\text{PTi} = 0.8 \); 0.775; and 0.75. The measured phase shift, \( \Delta(t) \), changes periodically in time, increasing and decreasing after \( \text{PSr} \) and \( \text{PTi} \) pulses, respectively. The initial value \( \Delta(t = 0) \), determined by the STO(001) substrate, changes very slightly during the growth, indicating a very similar dielectric properties of the grown STO film and the STO substrate. The Sr-rich composition (\( \text{PSr}/\text{PTi} = 0.8 \)) leads to an overall increase of the \( \Delta(t) \) signal, whereas the Sr-poor composition (\( \text{PSr}/\text{PTi} = 0.75 \)) yields a decrease of \( \Delta \). The determined optimal ratio, \( \text{PSr}/\text{PTi} = 0.763 \), as well as the precursors volumes required for one monolayer of Ti-O\(_2\), \( V_{\text{ML}(\text{Ti})} = 11 \mu \text{l} \), and Sr-O, \( V_{\text{ML}(\text{Sr})} = 0.763 \times V_{\text{ML}(\text{Ti})} \), were further used to grow RP STO films with \( n = 2 \)--4. The calculated overall thickness of the STO (\( n = \infty \)) film, \( d_{\text{calc}} = N \times 0.3905 \text{ nm} = 12.1 \text{ nm} \), with \( N = 31 \) periods of Sr/Ti-pulses fits well the thickness evaluated from X-ray reflectometry (XRR) measurements, \( d_{\text{meas}} = 12.9 \text{ nm} \), for the sample with \( \text{PSr}/\text{PTi} = 0.8 \). For other \( \text{PSr}/\text{PTi} \) ratios, no XRR oscillations were observed because of a negligible chemical contrast. Thus, by using optical ellipsometry, we determined the stoichiometric \( \text{PSr}/\text{PTi} \) ratio to grow stoichiometric SrTiO\(_3\).

The \( \Delta(t) \) diagram in Fig. 2(a) monitors the growth of \( N = 14 \) sequences of a SrO(SrTiO\(_3\))\(_4\) RP structure, starting with a Sr-O layer at \( t_0 = 154 \text{ s} \) and terminating by a Ti-O\(_2\) layer at \( t_{\text{end}} = 1367 \text{ s} \). Apparently, the ellipsometric signal oscillates along the baseline during the four circles of [Sr-O/Ti-O\(_2\)]\(_4\) growth, while it shows a step-like change as soon as the additional SrO layer is added. The expected (calculated) thickness of the RP STO (\( n = 4 \)) film, \( d \approx 14 \times 0.3905 \times (2n + 1)/2 \text{ nm} = 24.6 \text{ nm} \), correlates well with transmission electron microscopy (TEM) data (see Fig. 4(a)). In the inset of Fig. 2(a), an AFM image of the RP STO film with \( n = 4 \) evidences a smooth surface morphology with a root mean square roughness, RMS = 0.28 nm. In Fig. 3(a), the \( \theta-20 \) X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the RP STO film with \( n = 4 \) exhibits peaks from the (001) atomic planes indicating the out-of-plane epitaxy. From the Bragg’s law with a linear dependence of \( \sin \theta \) on the diffraction order, \( m \) (inset of Fig. 3(a)), we calculated the c-lattice parameter of the film, \( c = 3.59(5) \text{ nm} \), which fits well the literature data.\textsuperscript{4,7,8} XRD patterns for RP STO films with \( n = 2,3 \) (not shown) also reveal (00l) peaks only; the calculated c-axis parameters correlate with \( D2SrO(r > 5) = 1.1(1)^\circ \) for all \( r > 5 \) is also shown.

To measure the atomic layer structure of the obtained RP films, we performed TEM analysis on the Qu-Ant-EM microscope at the University of Antwerp by means of a FEI Titan G3 instrument operating at 300 kV acceleration voltage. TEM samples were thinned by ion milling; as preparation with focused ion beam (FIB) was found to disturb the SrO-SrTiO\(_3\) stacking. In Fig. 3(b), a high resolution cross-section high angle annular dark field (HAADF) scanning TEM (STEM) image of an RP STO (\( n = 4 \)) film is presented. The extra SrO layers are clearly visible as stacking faults, shifting the bright Sr atomic columns in the image by a half unit cell in the horizontal direction. Such stacking corresponds apparently to the targeted sequence of layered RP structure with four STO layers separated by two SrO layers, i.e., RP STO (\( n = 4 \)). Atomically resolved EELS mapping of Sr and Ti confirms this stacking sequence for a region without defects (see Fig. 3(c)). The extracted chemical maps of the corresponding Sr-M\(_{4,5}\) and Ti-L\(_{2,3}\) edges are presented in gray scale together with their corresponding annular dark field (ADF) survey image. For clarity, EELS line profiles across the layered RP structure are plotted in Fig. 3(c). One can clearly see the corresponding SrO double layers in between of 4 u.c. of SrTiO\(_3\). An overview HAADF STEM
Due to the difference between cSTO/2 and cSrO accompanying the inserted horizontal SrO-layers to balance the strain on the STO-blocks. Fig. 4(b) shows a sketch of the intermixing-induced formation of SrO intergrowths. The important starting point to discuss the results is that we can rule out the formation of RP STO films by a solid-state reaction. Indeed, the deposition of a film from stoichiometric mixed solution for RP STO (n = 4) via usual MAD did not lead to an XRD pattern of any RP. Moreover, the processing conditions (annealing for several weeks at T ~ 1513 K) for classical solid state routes differ strongly from those of MAD ALE (T_{MAD} = 1208 K, t_{MAD} = 3600 s). With the self-diffusion coefficient of D (1208 K) ~ 1.2 × 10^{-26} m^2 s^{-1} for Sr in undoped STO, the estimated diffusion length \( \sqrt{2Dt_{MAD}} \approx 0.01 \) nm is vanishingly small within MAD ALE. Finally, an additional annealing of our RP STO samples at T_{MAD} = 1208 K for 1 h did not alter the XRD patterns, thus, confirming a negligible effect of bulk diffusion. However, this might not be valid for SrO surface diffusion, which seems to be responsible for the intergrowths. Szot and Speier observed the enrichment of SrO at or just below the STO(001) surface annealed under oxidizing conditions, which is probably driven by a high surface density of dislocations, acting as diffusion channels. This is in remarkable agreement with the enhancement of RP defects close to STO substrate (see Fig. 4). Moreover, the change of the phase shift \( \Delta(t) \) in Fig. 2(b) after the deposition of two consecutive SrO layers, \( \Delta2SrO \), is smaller for the first 5 layers of SrO(STO)4 than for the later ones. This cannot be explained by a roughening of the growing SrO-layer (a roughened layer would have a smaller refractive index within an effective medium approximation), but rather by the intermixing-induced formation of SrO intergrowths. The TiO2 layer deposited in the following step then reacts with these intergrowths to form STO with SrO-termination. As a result, one can see a smaller amplitude of \( \Delta(t) \) oscillations.
for the first repetition of the \( \text{SrO}(\text{SrTiO}_3)_n \) sequence \((r = 1, \text{Fig. 2(b)})\), i.e., the amplitude ASTO is getting progressively smaller for the four consecutive STO layers. This is also an indication for the aforementioned processes, where the termination does not change clearly from TiO$_2$ to SrO but stays mainly SrO.

As the initially deposited extra layers of SrO have the tendency to move to the surface of the film, the substrate/film interface cannot be sharply defined at the scale of one atomic layer (see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)), and the film thickness might deviate slightly from the growth recipe. The reduced SrO non-stoichiometry in the layers at the top of the film shows that they become immobile in the later stages of the film growth. Furthermore, \( \Delta \text{SrO} \) almost saturates after 5 repetitions (see Fig. 2(b)), signaling that the deposited double layers of SrO do not diffuse into the substrate for \( r > 5 \). Thus, the initial mobility of the SrO-layers seems to be related to the properties of the original substrate. After the growth of the first five repetitions of the \( \text{SrO}(\text{SrTiO}_3)_n \) sequence, the defects of the substrate are healed and the extra SrO-layers are mostly trapped in the first half of the film. All these indicate that the growth of a SrTiO$_3$ buffer layer with a thickness, \( d = 25 \text{ u.c.} \sim 10 \text{ nm} \), probably will prevent the formation of the wrong SrO-STO stacking sequences. The remaining defect level of RP structure due to Sr excess is then intrinsically determined by the error of the Sr and Ti doses.

Finally, a comparison of atmospheric pressure MAD ALE with MBE technique with respect to the growth of RP STO films could be done. The second half of the RP STO film (Fig. 4) with 85% amount of desired phase \((n = 4)\) is already not far away from 94% attained by MBE.\(^8\) Contrary to the films presented here, there are no reports about disturbed region with RP-type defects close to the surface of STO buffered STO substrates for MBE-grown films.\(^5\)-\(^8\) This might be caused by different deposition conditions within MBE, i.e., partial \( \text{O}_2 \) pressure during deposition \( \text{pO}_2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{Torr} \) and \( T = 750 \, ^\circ\text{C} \).\(^8\) Such reducing conditions could lead to different surface reactions than those for oxidizing conditions.\(^18\) Within the current MAD ALE technique with \( \text{pO}_2 \sim 150 \text{Torr} \) the available growth temperatures, \( T \sim 900 \, ^\circ\text{C} \), are determined by the temperature necessary for the epitaxial growth of the film and by the pyrolysis reaction of the specific precursor.

To find the right Sr/Ti ratio for the deposition of stoichiometric STO, we used optical ellipsometry. The optimal ratio is accomplished when the phase shift \( \Delta \) after the deposition of SrO and TiO$_2$ is the same as that for the bare substrate. The accuracy of this method can be estimated to 1.5%. This method and accuracy are similar to the applied RHEED technique by Haeni et al.\(^19\) to calibrate fluxes of SrO and TiO$_2$ within MBE with a precision of 1%. Due to the fact that the films are derived from chemical solutions, the composition of the grown films can be changed with a similar way as for the MBE. So phase diagrams of complex oxides can be studied in detail as has recently been shown for \((\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Pr}_x)_2\text{O}_{3-\Delta}\text{SrO}_{1.33}\text{MnO}_3.\)\(^20\) For the MAD ALE technique, operating at atmospheric pressure, surface contamination on the atomic scale is prevented by the relatively high substrate temperature which leads to the removal of adsorbed H$_2$O and/or CO$_2$.\(^21\)

In summary, the deposition of Ruddlesden Popper type \( \text{SrO}(\text{SrTiO}_3)_n \) \((n = \infty, 2, 3, 4)\) by a vacuum-free chemical solution-based deposition technique, MAD, is reported. The defects observed by TEM are mainly given by SrO intergrowths, the density of which decreases with increasing the film thickness and saturates after \( \sim 10 \text{ nm} \) at the level of 2.4% of Sr excess. We conclude that STO substrate surface enhances the mobility of the SrO layers. We expect the future improvements, tailored to the MAD technique, including surface treatments or buffer layer, will further reduce defect concentrations in RP thin films.
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