Large-scale synthesis of single-wall carbon nanotubes by catalytic chemical vapor deposition (CCVD) method
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Abstract

The large-scale production of single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) is reported. Large quantities of SWNTs can be synthesised by catalytic decomposition of methane over well-dispersed metal particles supported on MgO at 1000°C. The thus produced SWNTs can be separated easily from the support by a simple acidic treatment to obtain a product with high yields (70–80%) of SWNTs. Because the typical synthesis time is 10 min, 1 g of SWNTs can be synthesised per day by this method. The SWNTs are characterized by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy and by Raman spectroscopy, showing the quality and the quantity of products. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In addition to the laser evaporation and electric arc discharge techniques, the catalytic process is a very efficient method to produce multi-wall carbon nanotubes [1,2]. The first two techniques allow the production of SWNTs in high quantities [3,4]. Many previous reports [5–10] have shown that the catalytic way could be a possibility to produce nanotubes on a large scale at a very low cost.

Biro et al. [5] reported the presence of SWNTs by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) during the synthesis of multi-wall nanotubes by catalytic decomposition of hydrocarbons.

Peigney et al. [6] also reported the synthesis of a mixture of single- and multi-wall nanotubes by decomposition of H₂/CH₄ on Fe alumina nanocomposite powders.

Isolated single-wall tubes have also been synthesised by the disproportionation of CO on Mo nanoparticles [7] and more recently, by chemical vapor deposition of methane on supported Fe₂O₃ catalysts [8]. Finally, the production of SWNTs including double-wall nanotubes (DWNTs) on Mo and Mo–Fe alloy has also been reported [9]. By this method, the choice of the support and the metals or metal mixtures seems be determinant in the SWNTs synthesis. Colomer et al. [10] have shown the
presence of SWNTs for different metal pairs (Fe, Co, Ni, binary mixture, ...)/support (silica and alumina) with varying efficiency, depending on the system used. The abundance of SWNTs seems to increase when binary alloy nanoparticles, for the same metals, are used as in the case described by Flahaut et al. [11]. The main inconvenient of this method is to estimate the yield of the reaction in SWNTs because of the presence of catalyst and the other forms of carbon (multi-wall nanotubes (MWNTs), amorphous carbon, carbon nanospheres, ...).

As a conclusion, in all cases described in the literature, the synthesis of SWNTs seems to be linked to the very small size of the catalyst particles. A mechanism for the nucleation of both single- and multi-wall nanotubes obtained by CCVD from catalytic particles has already been reported [7,10].

Note that pyrolisis of hydrocarbons with metallocones has also been successfully applied for the production of SWNTs [12,13] in large quantities, but this way does not use the metal-supported catalysts, like the others described above.

Finally, very recent works [14,15] have reported what could be a real improvement in the low-cost, large scale synthesis of SWNTs: a carbon nanotubes/Co/MgO composite powder was prepared by reduction of $\text{Mg}_0.9\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{O}$ solid solution in $\text{H}_2/\text{CH}_4$ atmosphere (18 mol% $\text{CH}_4$, 1000°C) to produce both single- and multi- (double-) wall carbon nanotubes in a 1:1 ratio. The carbon nanotubes were extracted by dissolution of MgO and part of the Co catalyst in the HCl aqueous solution (36% room temperature). Indeed, MgO presents the advantage over other supports such as alumina, spinel and silica that it can be readily dissolved in acids. It was reported [14,15] that the mild acid treatment does not damage the carbon nanotubes, more than 80% of which are either SWNTs or DWNTs.

In the present work, we describe the large-scale synthesis of SWNTs over supported catalyst Metal/MgO where Metal is Co, Ni, Fe and a binary mixture Co–Fe. The possibility to obtain a sample containing high yields of SWNTs (70–80%), by this way is an improvement. This fact is explained by the synthesis conditions, which allow the formation of SWNTs in abundance (which some double-wall nanotubes), and by the use of the support such as MgO known to be easily removed by an acidic treatment (HCl). Using the transmission electron microscopy (TEM), the quality of the SWNTs and the nature of contaminating phase were checked. The SWNTs were also characterized by Raman spectroscopy.

2. Experimental

The different catalysts are prepared by impregnation of MgO (Vel) with ethanol solution of metal salts (Co, Ni, Fe) or a mixture of metal salts (Co–Fe) in the appropriate concentration. The material is sonicated during one hour and the ethanol is removed via a rotary evaporator. Then, the material is dried at 130°C during 12–15 h and ground into a fine powder. Finally, a portion of each catalyst was hydrogenated in $\text{H}_2/\text{N}_2$ ($\text{H}_2$ flow: 30 ml min$^{-1}$, $\text{N}_2$ flow: 80 ml min$^{-1}$).

The synthesis of SWNTs was carried out in a fixed-bed reactor (quartz tube of 50 mm diameter, 80 cm in length in a carbolite horizontal reactor) at 1000°C, with a typical reaction time of 10 min. For each synthesis, a quartz boat containing about 1 g of catalyst was placed in the center of the reactor. The carbon source was methane with hydrogen as carrier gas, and the flows of the mixture methane/hydrogen were 75/300 ml min$^{-1}$. After the reaction, the catalyst support and metal particles is removed by acidic treatment in concentrated hydrochloric acid. Typically, the crude sample (about 1 g) is placed in 50 ml of concentrated HCl under sonication for 15 min. It is filtered through an HPLC filter (FP-Vericel membrane filter, porosity 0.2 μm) washed with distilled water to fix the pH at 6–7 and finally, dried at 130°C.

After this purification, the carbon yield can be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Carbon yield (\%) = } 100 \left( \frac{m_{\text{pure}}}{m_{\text{crude}}} \right),$$

where $m_{\text{crude}}$ is the weight of the sample after production of SWNTs, and $m_{\text{pure}}$ the weight of the sample after acidic treatment.

Finally, the sample for TEM was prepared by sonication of about 3 mg of material in ethanol and a
few drops were put onto a holey-carbon TEM grid. The quality of the sample was characterised by transmission electron microscopy.

Raman experiments have been performed with a Raman spectrophotometer Jobin Yvon T64000 in ambient atmosphere and at room temperature. The spectra are recorded using a red excitation line (676.4 nm).

3. Results and discussion

Observations by low-resolution microscopy (TEM; Philips CM 20) show entangled carbon filaments and the abundance is very high in all the observed samples (Fig. 1). From several TEM images, the yield of these filaments can be estimated approximately to be of the order of 70–80%, depending on the catalyst used. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HREM using a JEOL 200 CX) images of the sample show that each filament consists of bundles of SWNTs but confirm also the presence of isolated SWNTs in a large quantity (Figs. 2 and 3). One of these SWNTs bundles, forming crystallite-like entities organized in a two-dimensional lattice, is shown in Fig. 3b.

Moreover, the diameter of the SWNT can be measured by transmission electron microscopy. Typically, the diameters of isolated SWNTs are 1–5 nm. For the SWNTs aligned in the bundles, the diameter values vary between 0.8 and 2 nm, and the estimated diameters distribution is larger than those synthe-
Fig. 3. Higher-resolution images of (A) SWNTs organized in bundles or isolated synthetised on Co(2.5% wt)/MgO catalyst, and (B) cross-section of SWNTs bundle showing the triangular lattice arrangement.

Fig. 4. Raman spectrum of SWNTs on Co(2.5% wt)/MgO catalyst recorded at room temperature, using an excitation wavelength of 676.4 nm. Inset: the low-frequency range (50–250 cm$^{-1}$) has been given in detail.
sised by arc discharge or laser evaporation. The SWNTs diameters were also studied in detail using Raman spectroscopy (Fig. 4). The spectrum exhibits unambiguously the characteristic frequencies of SWNTs, but the corresponding bands (low (100–250 cm\(^{-1}\)) and high (1500–1600 cm\(^{-1}\)) frequencies) are reduced by the presence of other carbon forms like multi-wall nanotubes or amorphous carbon (1337 cm\(^{-1}\), band D). The high-frequency bands can be decomposed in one main peak around 1581 cm\(^{-1}\), with a shoulder around 1540 cm\(^{-1}\). This shoulder is more important in the case of SWNTs produced by arc discharge, where a separate peak can be observed [4]. The high-resolution spectrum obtained in the low-frequency domain shows several components at 121, 133, 150, 155, 172, 175, 190, 196, 211, 221 cm\(^{-1}\). The spectrum in this frequency domain is very sensitive to the tube diameters, where the frequency increases with decreasing tube diameter (\(d\)). Moreover, these bands are due to \(\Lambda_g\) symmetry mode where \(\nu\) (cm\(^{-1}\)) = 2238/\(d\) Å [16] and the results reflect a distribution in diameters. Then, SWNTs with small diameters can be observed with the characteristic bands 211, 221 cm\(^{-1}\), where the diameters 10.6 and 10.1 Å are calculated from the formula above.

The so characterized sample, by Raman spectroscopy and by HREM, shows also the presence of small amounts of impurities like MWNTs (which are often double-wall nanotubes), metal particles encapsulated in the nanotubes or in polyhedral graphitic nanoparticles and amorphous carbon and seems to be less pure than those produced by the other techniques.

In the sample, the SWNTs tips are observed for isolated SWNTs and catalyst particles can be present or not in the tube tip (Fig. 5). This fact is in agreement with the suggested mechanism, where the growth mechanism of isolated SWNTs is close to that of the MWNTs in the CCVD process, and the diameter of the SWNT is determined by the size of the catalytic metal particle attached to their end [7,10]. The large diameter distribution of isolated SWNTs is also explained by this fact.

The dispersion of metal on the support surface influences the metal particle size and hence the presence of isolated SWNTs or SWNTs bundles. The bigger metal particles (\(> 15–20\) nm) are not active in the synthesis of SWNTs and are often found encapsulated by several graphitic layers. All the other metal particles, having a size smaller than that of the big particles are active in the synthesis of SWNTs bundles. The hypothesis of SWNTs bundles growth is that each SWNTs bundle grow from a single metal particle.

Concerning the catalysts, the nature of support (commercial magnesium oxide) is very important because it is easily removed and this fact allows one to estimate the yield of the reaction and to characterize with more precision the nature of carbon deposit: quality of SWNTs, nature of impurities, etc. It is the main advantage of this support with respect to the other supports used in the synthesis of SWNTs like alumina or silica.

For the catalysts used (Table 1), the activity seems be dependent on the nature of metal, but in all cases and for the two different preparations (hydrogenated or not), the yield is around 7%, except for the Ni catalysts where the yield is very low (\(< 1\)%). The best activity found is for the catalyst prepared with the Co–Fe mixture. But in this case (presence of Fe) and so for the Fe catalysts, the impurities like MWNTs and encapsulated metal particles seem to be more abundant than with the Co catalysts. Moreover, when the weight percent of metal increases (from 2.5
Table 1
Yields of SWNTs synthesis depending on the catalysts used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals used</th>
<th>Weight percent of metal</th>
<th>Yields for as prepared catalyst</th>
<th>Yields for hydrogenated catalyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co–Fe</td>
<td>2.5–2.5%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a 10 min reaction, we obtain around 50 mg of carbon deposit and for 20 reactions day$^{-1}$, the daily production is of about 1 g of product containing essentially SWNTs. This method of synthesis could replace the other two techniques of SWNTs production, because of the lower temperature used (1000°C), of the low cost of production and of its industrial application potential.

In the near future, the purification of SWNTs produced by this way will be optimised to obtain pure SWNTs in large quantities.
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